# HALF-MOUFANG GENERALIZED HEXAGONS

ΒY

KATRIN TENT\*

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Würzburg Am Hubland, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany e-mail: tent@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de

#### ABSTRACT

If  $\Gamma$  is a half-Moufang generalized hexagon, then  $\Gamma$  is Moufang. We also give a very short proof that a generalized hexagon admitting a split BN-pair is a Moufang hexagon.

### 1. Introduction

In classifying groups acting on geometries, in particular groups with BN-pairs (see Section 3), the Moufang condition is in most cases the crucial property which allows one to identify the groups using the classification of the Moufang polygons by Tits and Weiss [11]. However, often only part of the Moufang condition can be verified and hence it is very useful to know that this part is already sufficient.

For finite generalized quadrangles it was known that the half-Moufang property implies the Moufang property [3]. This result was generalized to arbitrary quadrangles in [7]. For generalized hexagons, [4] shows that a half-Moufang hexagon where all given elations are central elations satisfies the Moufang condition. We here give a new and direct proof of this result (except for the smallest case  $G_2(2)$ for which there is already another direct proof due to Van Maldeghem [12] 6.3.2, case t = 2) and generalize it to prove the result stated in the abstract. Note that this is a real generalization even for the smallest case since we do not assume that the given elations are central.

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by a Heisenberg-Stipendium. Received June 17, 2003 and in revised form September 8, 2003

As a by-product Theorem 4.2 gives a very short proof of the fact that if a group with a split BN-pair acts on a generalized hexagon, it has to satisfy the Moufang property (see [9]).

The general half-Moufang case is open for generalized *n*-gons with  $n = 2m \ge 8$ .

## 2. Set-up

A generalized *n*-gon  $\Gamma$  is a bipartite graph with valencies at least 3, diameter n and girth 2n. For generalized hexagons we have n = 6. The vertices of this graph are called the **elements** of  $\Gamma$ . The set of elements adjacent to some element  $x \in \Gamma$  is denoted by  $\Gamma_1(x)$ , and more generally  $\Gamma_i(x)$  denotes the set of elements of (graph theoretic) distance i from x.

We say that two elements have the same type if they belong to the same class in the bipartition of  $\Gamma$ . Similarly, we say that two paths have the same type if they have the same length and start or end with elements of the same type.

If  $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ , we denote by  $G_{x_0}^{[i]}$  the subgroup of G fixing all elements of  $\Gamma_i(x_0)$  (and then it automatically fixes all sets  $\Gamma_j(x_0)$  pointwise, for  $0 \leq j \leq i$ ). Further, for elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ , we set  $G_{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k}^{[i]} = G_{x_0}^{[i]} \cap G_{x_1}^{[i]} \cap \cdots \cap G_{x_k}^{[i]}$ . For i = 0, we usually omit the superscript [0]. For every simple path  $(x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1})$  of length n + 1 and every i with  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , we have  $G_{x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1}} \cap G_{x_i, x_{i+1}}^{[1]} = 1$ .

Let  $(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$  be a simple path. An elation (or  $(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ -elation) g of  $\Gamma$  is a member of  $G_{x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}^{[1]}$ . If n is even, an elation  $g \in G_x^{[n/2]}$  is called central and x is called the center of the elation. The group  $G_{x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}^{[1]}$  of elations acts freely on  $\Gamma_1(x_0) \setminus \{x_1\}$  and on  $\Gamma_1(x_n) \setminus \{x_{n-1}\}$ . If this action is transitive, then we say that the path  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1})$  is a Moufang path. If all simple (n-2)-paths are Moufang, then we say that  $\Gamma$  is a Moufang polygon. If n is even, and if all simple paths of length n-2 starting with an element of fixed type are Moufang, then we say that  $\Gamma$  is half-Moufang for that type. If all elations of that type are central elations, then we say that  $\Gamma$  is half-Moufang with all central elations. Notice that the half-Moufang condition is the same as the Moufang n-gons exist only for n = 3, 4, 6, 8. Background on the Moufang condition for generalized n-gons can be found in [12] and in the full classification [11].

Notation: Regarding commutators and conjugation, we use the notation  $g^h = h^{-1}gh$ . We also write  $g^{-h} = (g^{-1})^h$  and  $[g,h] = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh = h^{-g}h = g^{-1}g^h$ . We let automorphisms act on the right, so we use exponential notation.

If H is a group acting on a set  $\Omega$  and  $A \subseteq \Omega$ , we let  $H_A$  denote the pointwise and  $H_{\{A\}}$  denote the setwise stabilizer of A in H.

The following well-known observations are at the heart of many arguments.

2.1 LEMMA: Let H be a group acting on a set  $\Omega$ . Let  $A \subseteq \Omega$ . Suppose that  $g \in H_A$  and let  $h \in H$ . Then  $[g,h] \in H_A$  if and only if  $g \in H_{A^h}$ .

For  $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$  we have in particular: if  $h \in G_x$  then  $[g, h] \in G_x^{[i]}$  if and only if  $g \in G_x^{[i]}$ .

Notice also that [g,h] = [g,k] implies that  $hk^{-1}$  centralizes g and hence  $[g,hk^{-1}] = 1$ .

## 3. BN-pairs

Generalized polygons were introduced by Tits [10]. The standard examples arise from groups with an irreducible spherical BN-pair of rank 2, hence in particular from groups of Lie type. For the purpose of the present paper, the following geometric definition of such a BN-pair will do.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a generalized n-gon, and let G be a group acting (not necessarily effectively) on  $\Gamma$  such that each element of G acts as a type preserving graph automorphism. If G acts transitively on the set of ordered 2n-cycles of  $\Gamma$ , then we say that G is a group with an irreducible spherical BN-pair of rank 2, or briefly, with a **BN-pair**. We say that  $\Gamma$  admits a BN-pair if  $G = \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$  has a BN-pair. The subgroups B and N of G forming this BN-pair can be described as follows. Let  $A = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n} = x_0)$  be a 2n-cycle in  $\Gamma$ . Let  $B = G_{x_0, x_1}$ and  $N = G_{\{A\}}$ . Then  $T = B \cap N$  fixes A pointwise, and clearly  $T \triangleleft N$ . By the transitivity of G on ordered 2n-cycles, we see that the Weyl group W = N/Tis the dihedral group of order 2n. The BN-pair is called **split** if there is a normal nilpotent subgroup  $U \triangleleft B$  with  $B = U(B \cap N)$  or, equivalently, with U acting transitively on the 2n-cycles containing  $(x_0, x_1)$ . Finite split BN-pairs of rank 2 were classified by Fong and Seitz [2] and the general classification was obtained in [8, 9, 6]. Groups with a split BN-pair of rank 2 are those associated with the group of k-rational points of an absolutely simple algebraic group of relative rank 2.

From now on, throughout the paper we let  $\Gamma$  denote a generalized hexagon and we fix a path  $(x_0, \ldots, x_6)$ . Unless explicitly stated otherwise we assume that  $\Gamma$  is half-Moufang for paths of the same type as  $(x_1, \ldots, x_5)$ . In particular, the group  $U = G_{x_1,\ldots,x_5}^{[1]}$  acts transitively on  $\Gamma_1(x_0) \setminus \{x_1\}$ . Let  $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$  denote the group generated by all elations of this type.

#### K. TENT

3.1 LEMMA: Let  $x_{-1} \in \Gamma_1(x_0) \setminus \{x_1\}$ . If  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| \ge 4$ , then  $V = G_{x_1}^{[1]} \cap G_{x_{-1},\dots,x_5}$ acts transitively on  $\Gamma_1(x_5) \setminus \{x_4\}$ . If  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| = 3$ , then  $G_{x_0,x_1,x_2}^{[1]}$  acts transitively on  $\Gamma_2(x_3) \setminus \Gamma_1(x_2)$ .

**Proof:** First assume  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| = 3$ . Let  $\gamma = (x_2, x_3, x'_4, x'_5, x'_6, x'_7)$  be a simple path with  $x_4 \neq x'_4$ . Complete  $\gamma$  into a closed 12-cycle

$$(x_7', x_6', x_5', x_4', x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}, x_{11} = x_7').$$

Let  $p_0$  denote the projection of  $x_9$  onto  $x_2$  (i.e.,  $p_0$  is the unique element in  $\Gamma_1(x_2)$  with  $d(x_9, p_0) = 4$ ), and let  $q \in \Gamma_1(x_2) \setminus \{x_3, p_0\}$ . Then  $d(x_8, x_2) = d(x_{10}, x_2) = 6$ . Let  $\gamma_1$  denote the unique 6-path  $(x_8, \ldots, q, x_2)$  and  $\gamma_2$  the unique 6-path  $(x_{10}, \ldots, q, x_2)$ . Let  $\alpha_1$  denote the  $\gamma_1$ -elation with  $x_7^{\alpha_1} = x_9$  (and so  $x_3^{\alpha_1} = p_0$ ), let  $\alpha_2$  denote the  $\gamma_2$ -elation with  $x_9^{\alpha_2} = x_7'$  (and hence  $p_0^{\alpha_2} = x_3$ ). Then  $g = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \in G_{q,x_2}^{[1]}$  and  $x_5^g = x_5'$ . Let  $\beta$  be the  $(x_2, \ldots, x_8)$ -elation with  $q^\beta = x_1$ . Then  $g^\beta \in G_{x_1,x_2}^{[1]}$  and  $x_4^{g^\beta} = x_4'$ . Let  $\beta_1$  be some  $(x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4')$ -elation with  $x_5^{g^\beta \beta_1 \beta_2} = x_5'$  and let  $\beta_2$  be the  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4', x_5', x_6')$ -elation with  $x_{-1}^{g^\beta \beta_1 \beta_2} = x_{-1}$ . Then  $g^\beta \beta_1 \beta_2 \in G_{x_0,x_1,x_2}^{[1]}$  is as desired.

Now assume that  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| \ge 4$ . We repeat the first part of the previous argument twice. Let  $y_6 \in \Gamma_1(x_5) \setminus \{x_4, x_6\}$ . Let  $\gamma = (x_2, x_3, x'_4, x'_5, x'_6, x'_7)$  be a simple path with  $x_4 \ne x'_4$ . Complete  $\gamma$  in two distinct ways into 12-cycles

$$(x_7', x_6', x_5', x_4', x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, \dots, x_{10}, x_{11} = x_7')$$

and

$$(x'_7, x'_6, x'_5, x'_4, x_3, x_4, x_5, y_6, \dots, y_{10}, y_{11} = x'_7)$$

Let  $p_0$  and  $p_1$  denote the respective projections of  $x_9$  and  $y_9$  onto  $x_2$ , and let  $q \in \Gamma_1(x_2) \setminus \{x_3, p_0, p_1\}$ . Then  $d(x_8, x_2) = d(y_8, x_2) = d(x_{10}, x_2) = d(y_{10}, x_2) = 6$ . Let  $\gamma_1 = (x_8, \ldots, q, x_2)$  be a path of length 6 and let  $\alpha_1$  denote the  $\gamma_1$ -elation with  $x_7^{\alpha_1} = x_9$  (and so  $x_3^{\alpha_1} = p_0$ ); let  $\gamma_2 = (x_{10}, \ldots, q, x_2)$  be a path of length 6, and  $\alpha_2$  denote the  $\gamma_2$ -elation with  $x_9^{\alpha_2} = x_7'$  (and hence  $p_0^{\alpha_2} = x_3$ ). Let  $\gamma_3 = (y_{10}, \ldots, q, x_2)$  be a path of length 6 and  $\alpha_3$  denote the  $\gamma_3$ -elation with  $x_7'^{\alpha_3} = y_9$ , so  $x_3^{\alpha_3} = p_1$ . Finally, let  $\gamma_4 = (y_8, \ldots, q, x_2)$  be a path of length 6 and let  $\alpha_4$  denote the  $\gamma_4$ -elation with  $y_9^{\alpha_4} = y_7$ , and so  $p_1^{\alpha_4} = x_3$ .

Then  $g = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \in G_q^{[1]}$  and  $x_6^g = y_6$ . Let  $\beta$  be the  $(x_2, \ldots, x_8)$ -elation with  $q^\beta = x_1$ . Let  $\alpha_0$  be the  $(x_0^{\beta^{-1}}, q, x_2, \ldots, x_6)$ -elation with  $x_{-1}^{\beta^{-1}g\alpha_0} = x_{-1}^{\beta^{-1}}$ . Then  $h = (g\alpha_0)^\beta \in G_{x_1}^{[1]} \cap G_{x_{-1},\ldots,x_5}$  and  $x_6^h = x_6'$ , showing  $V = G_{x_1}^{[1]} \cap G_{x_{-1},\ldots,x_5}$  to act transitively on  $\Gamma_1(x_5) \setminus \{x_4\}$ .

3.2 COROLLARY: If  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| \ge 4$ , then G has a BN-pair. For  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| = 3$ , G acts transitively on all paths of length 5 starting with the same type of element.

*Proof:* This follows immediately from the previous lemma.

3.3 Definition: We say that the root action for  $x_0$  is **independent of the root** if for each path  $(x_0, x_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4, x'_5, x'_6)$  the following holds: let  $U = G^{[1]}_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5}$ and  $U_1 = G^{[1]}_{x_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4, x'_5}$ , and let  $\Omega = \Gamma_1(x_0) \setminus \{x_1\}$ . Then  $U_1|_{\Omega} = U|_{\Omega}$ .

3.4 COROLLARY: Assume  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| \ge 4$ . If the root action for  $x_0$  is independent of the root, then  $V = G_{x_0,x_1,x_2}^{[1]} \cap G_{x_4,x_5}$  acts regularly on  $\Gamma_1(x_5) \setminus \{x_4\}$  and on  $\Gamma_1(x_{-1}) \setminus \{x_0\}$ .

Proof: We keep the notation of the proof of Lemma 3.1. If the root action for  $x_0$  is independent of the root, then by construction we have  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2|_{\Gamma_1(x_2)} = \alpha_3 \alpha_4|_{\Gamma_1(x_2)} = id|_{\Gamma_1(x_2)}$ . By choice of  $\alpha_0$ , we also have  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_4 \alpha_0|_{\Gamma_1(x_0^{\beta^{-1}})} = id|_{\Gamma_1(x_0^{\beta^{-1}})}$  and hence  $h = (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_4 \alpha_0)^{\beta} \in G_{x_0,x_1,x_2}^{[1]} \cap G_{x_4,x_5}$ . The regularity follows from the fact that  $G_{x_0,x_1,x_2}^{[1]} \cap G_{x_4,x_5,x_6} = 1$ .

In the following proposition we do not assume that  $\Gamma$  is half-Moufang:

3.5 PROPOSITION: Let  $\Gamma$  be a generalized hexagon with  $G = Aut(\Gamma)$  transitive on the set of paths of length 2 of the same type. Assume that  $\beta \in G_{x_0,x_2}^{[2]} \setminus \{1\}$ , and that  $G_{x_0}^{[1]} \cap G_{x_2}$  is transitive on  $\Gamma_2(x_2) \setminus \Gamma_1(x_1)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is half-Moufang and all elations are conjugate to  $\beta$ . If  $\beta \in G_{x_0,x_1,x_2}^{[2]}$ , it suffices that  $G_{x_0}^{[1]}$  is transitive on  $\Gamma_1(x_2) \setminus \{x_1\}$ .

Proof: Let  $(x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0)$  be an extension of  $(x_0, \ldots, x_6)$ . We will show that  $G_{x_0,x_{-2}}^{[2]}$  is transitive on  $\Gamma_1(x_2) \setminus \{x_1\}$ . Let  $p \in \Gamma_1(x_2) \setminus \{x_1, x_3\}$  and let  $\alpha \in G_{x_4}^{[1]}$  be such that  $p = x_1^{\alpha}$ . Then  $[\alpha, \beta] \in G_{x_2}^{[2]} \cap G_{x_4}^{[1]}$ . Let  $y = x_{-2}^{\beta^{-\alpha}}$ , and let  $g \in G_y^{[1]}$  be such that  $x_2^g = x_{-2}$ . (It suffices to choose  $g \in G_y^{[1]}$  with  $x_1^g = x_{-1}$  if  $\beta \in G_{x_0,x_{-1},x_2}^{[2]}$ . Put  $\gamma = \beta^g$ , so  $\gamma \in G_{x_0,x_{-2}}^{[2]}$ , and  $[\beta, g] = \beta^{-1}\gamma \in G_y^{[1]}$ . We claim that  $[\alpha, \beta]\beta^{\alpha\gamma} \in G_{x_2}^{[2]} \cap G_{x_{-2}}^{[1]} = 1$ . Clearly,  $[\alpha, \beta]\beta^{\alpha\gamma} \in G_{x_2}^{[2]}$ . Now,  $\beta\gamma^{-1} \in G_y^{[1]}$ . Hence by construction  $(\beta\gamma^{-1})\beta^{\alpha} \in G_{x_{-2}}^{[1]}$ . Since  $\gamma \in G_{x_{-2}}^{[1]}$ , we have  $(\beta\gamma^{-1})\beta^{\alpha\gamma} = [\alpha, \beta]\beta^{\alpha\gamma} \in G_{x_{-2}}^{[1]}$ , as claimed.

Thus,  $[\alpha, \beta] = \beta^{-\alpha\gamma}$  is a non-trivial elation in  $G_{x_2, x_0^{\alpha\gamma}}^{[2]}$ . Since  $[\alpha, \beta] \in G_{x_4}^{[1]}$ , we must have  $x_1^{\alpha\gamma} = p^{\gamma} = x_3$ . Since  $p \in \Gamma_1(x_2)$  was arbitrary, we have proved the claim.

Suppose again that  $\Gamma$  is half-Moufang for  $(x_1, \ldots, x_5)$ .

#### K. TENT

3.6 LEMMA: If  $U_0 = G_{x_0,x_2}^{[2]}$ ,  $U_1 = G_{x_2,x_4}^{[2]}$ ,  $U_2 = G_{x_4,x_6}^{[2]}$ , we have  $[U_0, U_1] = 1$  and  $[U_0, U_2] = U_1$ .

Proof: Note that we do not assume that  $G_{x_2,x_4}^{[2]}$  is transitive on  $\Gamma_1(x_0) \setminus \{x_1\}!$ By Lemma 2.1 we have  $[U_0, U_1] \leq G_{x_0,x_2,x_4}^{[2]} = 1$ . Similarly,  $[U_0, U_2] \leq U_1$ . To see that equality holds, let  $\alpha \in U_0$ , and let  $(x_0, \ldots, x_6, x_7, \ldots, x_{12} = x_0)$  be a closed cycle. Let  $\gamma \in G_{x_5,x_6,x_7,x_8,x_9}^{[1]}$  with  $x_5^{\alpha\gamma} = x_3$ , and hence  $x_6^{\alpha\gamma} = x_2$ . Then for any  $\beta \in U_2$  we have  $\beta^{\alpha\gamma} \in U_1$ . Furthermore,  $[\beta^{-\alpha}, \gamma] = \beta^{\alpha}\beta^{-\alpha\gamma} \in G_{x_6}^{[1]}$ . Since  $\beta \in G_{x_6}^{[1]}$ , we thus have  $\beta^{-1}\beta^{\alpha}\beta^{-\alpha\gamma} = [\beta, \alpha]\beta^{-\alpha\gamma} \in U_1 \cap G_{x_6}^{[1]} = 1$ . So  $[\beta, \alpha] = \beta^{\alpha\gamma}$  for any  $\beta \in U_2$ . Thus if  $\delta \in U_1$ , then  $\delta^{(\alpha\gamma)^{-1}} \in U_2$  and  $[\delta^{(\alpha\gamma)^{-1}}, \alpha] = \delta$ . Hence,  $[U_0, U_2] = U_1$ .

3.7 LEMMA: If  $U = G_{x_2,x_4}^{[2]}$ , then U is abelian.

Proof: Let  $\alpha \in G_{x_2,x_4}^{[2]}$ , and let  $y \in \Gamma_2(x_2) \setminus (\Gamma_1(x_1) \cup \Gamma_1(x_3))$ . Let  $\delta$  be an elation for some path  $(\ldots, y, p, x_2)$  with  $x_3^{\delta} = x_1$ . Then  $\alpha^{\delta} \in G_{x_4^{\delta}, x_2}^{[2]}$  and hence  $[\alpha^{\delta}, \beta] = 1$  for all  $\beta \in U$  by Lemma 3.6. Since  $\delta \in G_y^{[1]}$ , we have  $[\alpha, \beta] \in G_y^{[1]}$  and hence  $[\alpha, \beta] = 1$  for all  $\beta \in U$ . Thus, U is abelian.

3.8 COROLLARY: If  $U = G_{x_1,\dots,x_5}^{[1]} = G_{x_2,x_3,x_4}^{[2]}$ , then the root action for  $x_0$  is independent of the root.

**Proof:** Let  $(x_0, x_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4, x'_5, x'_6)$  be a path, and  $U_1 = G_{x'_2, x'_3, x'_4}^{[2]}$ . Then  $[U, U_1] = G_{x_2, x_1, x'_2}^{[2]}$  by Lemma 3.6, showing that U and  $U_1$  centralize each other on the set  $\Omega = \Gamma_1(x_0) \setminus \{x_1\}$ . Since both groups are abelian by Lemma 3.7 and regular on  $\Omega$ , the claim now follows from the fact that the actions of two regular abelian groups centralizing each other coincide ([1] Thm. 4.2.A).

## 4. Half-Moufang hexagons

4.1 THEOREM (cf. [4]): If  $\Gamma$  is a half-Moufang generalized hexagon with all central elations, then  $\Gamma$  is Moufang.

Proof: Suppose  $U = G_{x_3}^{[3]}$  is transitive on  $\Gamma_1(x_0)$ . Quoting [4] Sect. 11 or [12] 6.3.2 (case t = 2) for the case  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| = 3$ , we may assume that  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| \ge 4$ .

By Corollary 3.8 and Corollary 3.4 the group  $V = G_{x_2,x_3,x_4}^{[1]} \cap G_{x_{-1}}$  acts regularly on  $\Gamma_1(x_5)$ . We claim that  $V \leq G_{x_0,x_2,x_3,x_4}^{[1]}$ . Suppose not and let  $g \in V \setminus G_q$  for some  $q \in \Gamma_1(x_0)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an elation with center  $x_{-1}$ , and let  $\beta \in U$  be such

that  $x_{-1}^{\beta} = q$ . Then  $[\alpha, \beta] \in G_{x_1}^{[3]}$  and hence  $[g, [\alpha, \beta]] = [g, \alpha^{-1}\alpha^{\beta}] \in G_{x_1}^{[3]} \cap G_{x_4}^{[1]} = 1$  by Lemma 2.1. Since  $[g, \alpha] = 1$ , we thus also have  $[g, \alpha^{\beta}] = 1$ . But this is impossible by Lemma 2.1 unless g fixes q.

It is left to show that  $V \leq G_{x_1}^{[1]}$ . To see this let  $v \in V$  and  $\alpha \in G_{x_{-1}}^{[3]} \setminus \{1\}$ . Then  $[v, \alpha] = 1$  by Lemma 2.1, showing that  $v \in G_{x_3}^{[1]}$ . Now let  $\beta \in G_{x_5}^{[3]}$  with  $x_1^{\beta} = x_3^{\alpha}$ . Then again  $[v, \beta] = 1$  by Lemma 2.1, and so  $v \in G_{x_1}^{[1]}$ . Thus  $V \leq G_{x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4}^{[1]}$  consists of elations.

In the following theorem, we do not assume that  $\Gamma$  is half-Moufang.

4.2 THEOREM ([9]): Let G be a group with a split BN-pair of rank 2 acting on a generalized hexagon  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is Moufang and G contains its little projective group.

**Proof:** By [5] Prop. 3.5,  $G_{x_0}^{[1]}$  is transitive on  $\Gamma_2(x_2) \setminus \Gamma_1(x_1)$  for all  $x_0 \in \Gamma$ . By [9] Prop. 4.1, either Z(U) consists of central elations, or both  $G_{x_0,x_2}^{[2]}$  and  $G_{x_1,x_3}^{[2]}$  are nontrivial. In the first case we are done by Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 4.1, in the second case we just use Proposition 3.5 to obtain all elations of both types.

From now on, until the end of the paper, we assume again that  $\Gamma$  is half-Moufang and that  $U = G_{x_1,\ldots,x_5}^{[1]}$  acts transitively on  $\Gamma_1(x_0) \setminus \{x_1\}$ .

4.3 LEMMA: If  $G_{x_4}^{[2]} \cap U \neq 1$ , then  $U = G_{x_2,x_4}^{[2]}$ .

Proof: First we show that if  $G_{x_4}^{[2]} \cap U \neq 1$ , then also  $G_{x_2,x_4}^{[2]} \cap U \neq 1$ . So let  $\alpha \in G_{x_4}^{[2]} \cap U \setminus \{1\}$ , and let  $\beta \in G_{x_6}^{[2]} \setminus G_{x_3}$  by Corollary 3.2. Then  $[\alpha, \beta] \in G_{x_4,x_6}^{[2]} \setminus \{1\}$ .

So let  $\alpha \in G_{x_2,x_4}^{[2]}$ , let  $(x_0,\ldots,x_6,x_7,\ldots,x_{12}=x_0)$  be a closed cycle and let  $\beta \in G_{x_5,x_6,x_7,x_8,x_9}^{[1]}$ . Then  $[\alpha,\beta] \in G_{x_4}^{[2]} \cap G_{x_6}^{[1]}$ . Let  $\gamma \in G_{x_{11},x_0,x_{11},x_{22},x_3}^{[1]}$  with  $x_2^{\beta^{\gamma}} = x_6$ . Then  $\alpha^{\beta\gamma} \in G_{x_4,x_6}^{[2]}$  and  $[\alpha,\beta]\alpha^{-\beta\gamma} \in G_{x_2}^{[1]}$ . By Lemma 3.6, there is some  $\delta \in G_{x_6,x_8}^{[2]}$  such that  $[\alpha,\delta] = \alpha^{\beta\gamma}$ . Hence  $h = [\alpha,\delta]^{-1}[\alpha,\beta] = \alpha^{-\delta}\alpha^{\beta} \in G_{x_2,x_6}^{[1]} \cap G_{x_4}^{[2]}$ .

We claim that  $[h, \delta] = 1$ . Clearly,  $[h, \delta] \in G_{x_4, x_6}^{[2]}$ . Thus, by Lemma 3.6 there is some  $\gamma' \in G_{x_2, x_4}^{[2]}$  such that  $[\gamma', \delta] = [h, \delta]$ . Thus,  $[\delta, \gamma' h^{-1}] = 1$ , which is impossible unless  $\gamma' = 1$ . Hence  $[h, \delta] = 1$ . By Lemma 2.1 we thus have  $h \in G_{x_2}^{[1]}$ . Conjugation by  $\delta^{-1}$  yields  $h^{\delta^{-1}} = \alpha^{-1}\delta\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta\delta^{-1} = [\alpha, \beta\delta^{-1}] \in G_{x_2}^{[1]}$ . Since  $\alpha \in G_{x_2}^{[1]}$  we therefore must have  $\alpha \in G_{x_2}^{[1]}$ . But this is possible only if  $x_3^{\delta\beta^{-1}} = x_3$ . Thus,  $\beta = \delta \in G_{x_6, x_8}^{[2]}$  and the lemma is proved.

#### K. TENT

4.4 LEMMA: If  $U = G_{x_2,x_4}^{[2]}$ , then the root action for  $x_0$  is independent of the root.

Proof: If |U| = 2, there is nothing to show. So we may assume that  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| \ge 4$ . Let  $x'_4 \in \Gamma_1(x_3) \setminus \{x_2, x_4\}$ . Let  $U_1 = G_{x_2, x'_4}^{[2]}$ , and let  $\Omega = \Gamma_1(x_0) \setminus \{x_1\}$ . By Lemma 3.7 both groups are abelian and regular on  $\Omega$ .

We claim that  $U_1|_{\Omega} = U|_{\Omega}$ . By [1] 4.2A, it suffices to show that  $U_1$  and U centralize each other, in particular then they centralize each other in their action on  $\Omega$ . To see this let  $\alpha \in U_1, \beta \in U$ . Then by Lemma 3.6 there are  $\gamma \in G_{x_0,x_2}^{[2]}$  and  $\delta \in G_{x_4,x_6}^{[2]}$  such that  $\beta = [\gamma, \delta]$ . Then  $[\alpha, \beta] = [\alpha, [\gamma, \delta]] = [\alpha, \gamma^{-1}\gamma^{\delta}] = 1$  by Lemma 3.6. Hence  $U_1|_{\Omega} = U|_{\Omega}$ .

Now let  $(x_1, x_2'', x_3'', x_4'')$  be a simple path with  $x_2'' \in \Gamma_1(x_1) \setminus \{x_0, x_2\}$ , and let  $U_2 = G_{x_2'', x_4''}^{[2]}$ . Let  $x_{-2} \in \Gamma_2(x_0) \setminus \Gamma_1(x_1)$ . Now let  $\gamma \in U_2, \beta \in U$  and let  $h \in G_{x_{-2}, x_0}^{[2]}$  with  $x_3^{\gamma h} = x_3$ . Then  $\beta^{\gamma h}$  is an  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4^{\gamma h}, x_5^{\gamma h})$ -elation. So  $\beta^{\gamma h} \in U^{\gamma h}$  and by the previous step  $U^{\gamma h}|_{\Omega} = U|_{\Omega}$ . Since  $\beta^{\gamma h}|_{\Omega} = \beta^{\gamma}|_{\Omega}$  and the situation is symmetric in U and  $U_2$  we see that  $U_2$  and U normalize each other on  $\Omega$ .

Thus,  $[U, U_2]|_{\Omega} \leq U|_{\Omega} \cap U_2|_{\Omega}$ . If  $U|_{\Omega} \cap U_2|_{\Omega} = 1$ , then U and  $U_2$  centralize each other as subgroups of  $\Omega$  and, since they are abelian and regular, they must coincide by [1] Thm. 4.2A. Thus we must have  $U|_{\Omega} \cap U_2|_{\Omega} \neq 1$ .

Let  $(x_4, x_3, x_2, x_1, x_2'', x_3'', x_4'', x_5'', x_6'', x_7'' = y_3, y_2, y_1, x_4)$  be a 12-cycle, and let  $(y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_7, x_0)$  be the path from  $y_3$  to  $x_0$ . We can now use H. Van Maldeghem's argument (see [7] Prop. 4.6): Let  $\alpha \in U \setminus \{1\}, \alpha_1 \in U_2 \setminus \{1\}$  with  $\alpha \alpha_1^{-1} \in G_{x_0}^{[1]}$ . Let  $U_- = G_{y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_7}^{[1]}$ . Then  $U_-^{\alpha}|_{\Omega} = U_{-1}^{\alpha_1}|_{\Omega}$ . There are  $\beta \in U_-^{\alpha}, \beta_1 \in U_-^{\alpha_1}$  with  $y_7^{\beta} = y_7^{\beta_1} = x_1$  and hence  $U_-^{\beta} = U$  and  $U_-^{\beta_1} = U_2$ . But  $\beta \beta_1^{-1} \in G_{x_0}^{[1]}$ , and so  $U|_{\Omega} = U_2|_{\Omega}$ .

4.5 LEMMA: If 
$$U = G_{x_2,x_4}^{[2]}$$
, then  $U = G_{x_2,x_3,x_4}^{[2]}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $U_1 = G_{x_0,x_2}^{[2]}$  and  $y \in \Gamma_1(x_3) \setminus \{x_2, x_4\}$ . By Lemma 4.4 and Corollary 3.4 there is some  $g \in G_y^{[1]}$  with  $x_2^g = x_4$  if  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| \ge 4$ . If  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| = 3$ , such an element g exists by Lemma 3.1. Let  $U_2 = G_{x_4,x_0}^{[2]} = U_1^g$ , so  $U_2|_{\Gamma_1(y)} = U_1|_{\Gamma_1(y)}$ . By Lemma 3.6 we have  $[U_1, U_2] = U$ . Since U and hence  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are abelian, it follows that  $U|_{\Gamma_1(y)} = 1$ . Since  $y \in \Gamma_1(x_3)$  was arbitrary, the claim follows.

4.6 LEMMA:  $[U, U] \leq G_{x_2, x_4}^{[2]}$ .

Proof: Let  $x_{-1} \in \Gamma_1(x_0) \setminus \{x_1\}$  and let  $\beta \in G_{x_{-1},x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3}^{[1]}$ . Then for  $\alpha, \delta \in U$ , we have  $[\alpha, \delta^{\beta}] \in U \cap U^{\beta}$ . But  $[\alpha, \delta] \in U$  is also an elation, and since  $\beta \in G_{x_0}^{[1]}$  we must have  $[\alpha, \delta] = [\alpha, \delta^{\beta}]$ . Thus,  $[U, U] = [U, U^{\beta}] \leq U \cap U^{\beta}$  for any  $\beta \in G_{x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3}^{[1]}$ . As  $G_{x_{-1},x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3}^{[1]}$  is transitive on  $\Gamma_1(x_4) \setminus \{x_3\}$ , we have  $[U, U] \leq G_{x_2}^{[2]}$ .

4.7 THEOREM: If  $\Gamma$  is a half-Moufang generalized hexagon, then  $\Gamma$  is Moufang and the group generated by all elations of one type also contains all the elations of the other type, except in the case of  $G_2(2)$  and the group generated by central elations.

*Proof:* As always we suppose that the group  $U = G_{x_1,...,x_5}^{[1]}$  acts transitively on  $\Gamma_1(x_0) \setminus \{x_1\}$ .

By Lemma 4.3, either  $U \cap G_{x_2,x_4}^{[2]} = 1$  or  $U = G_{x_2,x_4}^{[2]}$ . Note that in either case, U is abelian by Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 4.6, respectively.

We now consider the two cases separately:

 $U = G_{x_2,x_4}^{[2]}$ : By Lemma 4.5,  $U = G_{x_2,x_3,x_4}^{[2]}$ . Let  $\alpha \in U$ , and suppose  $\alpha \notin G_{x_3}^{[3]}$ . Either by Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 3.4 or, in case  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| = 3$ , by Lemma 3.1, there is some  $g \in G_{x_0,x_1,x_2}^{[1]}$  such that  $1 \neq h = [g, \alpha] \in G_{x_2,x_3}^{[2]} \cap G_{x_0}^{[1]}$ . As in the first part of the proof of Lemma 4.3, we now see that there is some  $h' \in G_{x_1,x_3}^{[2]} \setminus \{1\}$ . Now we are done by Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.5 applied to h'.

 $G_{x_2,x_4}^{[2]} \cap U = 1$ : Let  $\alpha \in U$ , and choose a 12-cycle

$$(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_6, x_7, \ldots, x_{11}, x_0).$$

Let  $\beta \in G_{x_3,x_4,x_5,x_6,x_7}^{[1]}$  such that  $h = [\alpha,\beta] \neq 1$ . We claim that  $h \in G_{x_4}^{[2]}$ . Let  $y_1 \in \Gamma_1(x_4) \setminus \{x_3,x_5\}$ , and let  $(x_4,y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4,y_5,x_{10})$  be a path of length 6. Let  $v \in G_{y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4,y_5}^{[1]}$  with  $x_7^v = x_1$ . Then  $\beta^v \in U$ , and since U is abelian, we have  $[\alpha,\beta^v] = 1$ . Since  $v \in G_{y_1}^{[1]}$  we thus also have  $[\alpha,\beta] \in G_{y_1}^{[1]}$ . But  $y_1$  was arbitrary, and so we have  $h \in G_{x_4}^{[2]}$  as claimed. We next claim that  $h \in G_{x_3}^{[2]}$ . This is clear if  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| = 3$  since  $h = [\alpha,\beta]$ . So assume  $|\Gamma_1(x_0)| > 3$ . Let  $z \in \Gamma_1(x_3)$  and let  $w \in G_{x_7}^{[1]}$  with  $x_2^w = z$  by Lemma 3.1. Then  $[h,w] \in G_{x_4}^{[2]} \cap G_{x_6,x_7}^{[1]} = 1$  by assumption. By Lemma 2.1,  $h \in G_z^{[1]}$  and hence  $h \in G_{x_3}^{[2]}$ . Similarly,  $h \in G_{x_5}^{[2]}$ . Again we are done by Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.5 applied to h.

#### References

 J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, Permutation Groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 163, Springer, Berlin, 1996.

- [2] P. Fong and G. Seitz, Groups with a (B, N)-pair of rank 2. I, II, Inventiones Mathematicae 21 (1973), 1-57; 24 (1974), 191-239.
- [3] S. Payne, J. A. Thas and H. Van Maldeghem, Half Moufang implies Moufang for finite generalized quadrangles, Inventiones Mathematicae 105 (1991), 153-156.
- [4] M. A. Ronan, A geometric characterization of Moufang hexagons, Inventiones Mathematicae 57 (1980), 227-262.
- [5] K. Tent, Split BN-pairs of finite Morley Rank, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 119 (2003), 239-264.
- [6] K. Tent, (B,N)-pairs of rank 2: the octagons, Advances in Mathematics 181 (2004), 308-320.
- [7] K. Tent, Half-Moufang implies Moufang for generalized quadrangles, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, to appear.
- [8] K. Tent and H. Van Maldeghem, On irreducible split (B,N)-pairs of rank 2, Forum Mathematicum 13 (2001), 853-862.
- [9] K. Tent and H. Van Maldeghem, Moufang polygons and irreducible spherical BNpairs of rank 2, I, Advances in Mathematics 174 (2003), 254-265.
- [10] J. Tits, Sur la trialité et certain groupes qui s'en déduisent, Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques 2 (1959), 13-60.
- [11] J. Tits and R. Weiss, Moufang Polygons, Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [12] H. Van Maldeghem, Generalized Polygons, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.